Large Cardinal Compactness

Peter Holy

Technical University of Vienna

joint work with Philipp Lücke (Hamburg) and Sandra Müller (TU Vienna) research funded by FWF project V844

Bristol, 2024

First order logic is *compact*:

Given any first order theory T, if every finite set of sentences from T is consistent (i.e., has a model), then T itself is consistent.

Stronger logics usually fail to have this property.

For example, second order logic (variables for and quantifications over subsets of the domain of a given structure are allowed) is not compact.

To see this, consider the theory T that consists of the following statements (we let uppercase letters denote second order variables):

- < is a linear ordering, (first order statement)
- $x_i > x_{i+1}$ for $i < \omega$,
- < is a well-ordering: $\forall A \exists x \in A \, \forall y \in A \, x \leq y$.

Passing to higher cardinalities

Perhaps this is just a problem with respect to finiteness:

Definition 1

For a cardinal κ , we say that a theory T is $<\kappa$ -consistent if every subset of T of size less than κ has a model.

Definition 2

 κ is a *strong compactness cardinal* for second order logic \mathcal{L}^2 if whenever T is a $<\kappa$ -consistent \mathcal{L}^2 -theory, then T itself has a model.

Theorem (Magidor, 1971)

 κ is a strong compactness cardinal for \mathcal{L}^2 if and only if there is an extendible cardinal $\nu \leq \kappa$. In particular, the least strong compactness cardinal for \mathcal{L}^2 is the least extendible cardinal.

A cardinal ν is extendible if $\forall \eta > \nu \exists \zeta \exists j : V_{\eta} \rightarrow V_{\zeta} \operatorname{crit}(j) = \nu$ and $j(\nu) > \eta$.

By their very definition, a cardinal κ is strongly compact if κ is a strong compactness cardinal for the logic $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa,\kappa}$ that is first order logic together with infinitary conjunctions and disjunctions of size less than κ and simultaneous quantification over any number of less than κ many variables.

Weak compactness and measurability can also be characterized by compactness properties of $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa,\kappa}$, considering only theories of size κ .

Abstract Logic

An abstract logic \mathcal{L} provides, for every given language τ , the class of τ -formulas of \mathcal{L} and a corresponding satisfaction relation for these formulas, obeying a small number of fairly weak and natural axioms.

The most interesting (and least obvious) axiom is: There is a (least) cardinal o such that for every language τ , any τ -formula of \mathcal{L} contains less than o symbols of the language.

- For first or second order logic, $o = \omega$.
- For $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa,\kappa}$ with κ regular, $o = \kappa$.
- $\mathcal{L}_{\infty,\omega}$ (arbitrary conjunctions and disjunctions) is not an abstract logic in the above sense.

Theorem (Makowsky, 1985)

Every abstract logic has a compactness cardinal if and only if Vopěnka's principle holds.

Vopěnka's principle is the statement that for any class of structures in a given signature, there's an elementary embedding between two of them.

Peter Holy (TU Vienna)

Large Cardinal Compactness

Characterizing large cardinals via compactness

- Can we characterize other large cardinal properties of a given cardinal κ via certain compactness properties of generalized logics?
- $\rightarrow\,$ that is, by statements of the form

Every theory that satisfies a certain property regarding the consistency of its $<\kappa$ -sized fragments is itself consistent?

- Can we do so by using logics that are not parametrized by κ ?
- \rightarrow Like \mathcal{L}^2 in Magidor's characterization of extendibility, or the class of all abstract logics, but not parametrized logics like $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa,\kappa}$?

Let's first see what we can do with second order logic!

A first step

Given a certain large cardinal property φ , let's try to find a sequence of $<\kappa$ -consistent second order theories T_{κ} for cardinals κ so that T_{κ} is consistent if and only if some $\lambda \leq \kappa$ satisfies $\varphi(\lambda)$. Our language will be constant symbols c_x for $x \in V_{\kappa+1}$ and constant symbols d_{γ} for $\gamma \leq \kappa$.

If $\varphi(\lambda) \equiv "\lambda$ is measurable", T_{κ} contains:

- The elementary first order diagram of $V_{\kappa+1}$, making use of the c_x .
- All (first order) sentences of the form $d_{\beta} \in d_{\gamma} \in c_{\kappa}$ for $\beta < \gamma \leq \kappa$.
- The (second order) statement that the \in -relation is wellfounded.

If T_{κ} is consistent, this gives us an elementary embedding $j: V_{\kappa+1} \to N$, $x \mapsto (c_x)^N$ with a transitive structure N and with $\operatorname{crit}(j) \leq \kappa$. On the other hand, the ultrapower embedding obtained from the measurability of some $\nu \leq \kappa$ easily yields the consistency of T_{κ} .

Strong cardinals

An analogous theory for strong cardinals: Fix some cardinal $\lambda > \kappa$. Our language will be constant symbols c_x for $x \in V_{\kappa+1}$ and constant symbols d_{γ} for $\gamma < \lambda$. T_{κ}^{λ} contains:

- The elementary first order diagram of $V_{\kappa+1}$, making use of the c_x .
- All (first order) sentences of the form $d_{\beta} \in d_{\gamma} \in c_{\kappa}$ for $\beta < \gamma < \lambda$.
- The (second order) statement that the \in -relation is wellfounded.
- The (second order) statement that for every $\gamma < \lambda$, the d_{γ} -th level of the cumulative hierarchy exists and is equal to $V_{d_{\gamma}}$.

If $\mathcal{T}_{\kappa}^{\lambda}$ is consistent, this gives us an elementary embedding $j: V_{\kappa+1} \to N$ with a transitive N, with $\operatorname{crit}(j) \leq \kappa$ and with $V_{\lambda} \subseteq N$. Using all $\lambda > \kappa$, this yields that some $\nu \leq \kappa$ is a strong cardinal. The reverse direction, starting from a strong cardinal $\nu \leq \kappa$, is again pretty much straightforward. A similar approach also works for supercompact cardinals.

What's next?

Let's concentrate again on the case of measurable cardinals (strong and supercompact cardinals are handled similarly). We know that the theory T_{κ} defined there is $<\kappa$ -consistent. We want to obtain a result of the following form:

Goal Theorem

For every cardinal κ , there is a certain (definable in κ) natural and rich class *C* of second order theories such that every theory in *C* is consistent if and only if there is a measurable cardinal that is $\leq \kappa$.

We can't take C to be the class of all $<\kappa$ -consistent theories, for this would give us an extendible cardinal by Magidor's result. We could take $C = \{T_{\kappa}\}$, but that would not be a very natural class of theories, and it would certainly not be rich (in the sense of containing as many theories as possible).

Outward Compactness - Basic Idea

Reminder: If $\varphi(\lambda) \equiv "\lambda$ is measurable", T_{κ} contains:

- The elementary first order diagram of $V_{\kappa+1}$, making use of the c_x .
- All (first order) sentences of the form $d_{\beta} \in d_{\gamma} \in c_{\kappa}$ for $\beta < \gamma \leq \kappa$.
- The (second order) statement that the \in -relation is wellfounded.

 T_{κ} is not only $<\kappa$ -consistent, but is also $<\kappa$ -consistent in all outer models of the universe V in which κ is still a cardinal.

(well-foundedness is absolute - we may just take the same witnessing structures as in the ground model)

Problem: This is not formalizable.

But: It almost is.

The main concept

Let ${\rm ZFC}^*$ denote the fragment of ${\rm ZFC}$ with the axioms of separation and replacement for $\Sigma_2\text{-}formulae$ only.

Definition 1

An \mathcal{L}^2 -theory T is $<\kappa$ -outward consistent if for all cardinals $\lambda < \kappa$ and all $\theta > \kappa$ with $T \in V_{\theta}$, the partial order $\operatorname{Col}(\omega, V_{\theta})$ forces that whenever $N \models \operatorname{ZFC}^*$ is an outer model of V_{θ}^V which preserves λ as a cardinal, T is $<\lambda$ -consistent in N.

Definition 2

A cardinal κ is an *outward compactness cardinal* for \mathcal{L}^2 if all $<\kappa$ -outward consistent theories are consistent.

Theorem 1

 κ is an outward compactness cardinal for \mathcal{L}^2 if and only if there is a measurable cardinal $\nu \leq \kappa$. In particular, the least measurable cardinal is the least outward compactness cardinal for \mathcal{L}^2 .

Peter Holy (TU Vienna)

Large Cardinal Compactness

Strong cardinals

Definition 3

An \mathcal{L}^2 -theory T is weakly $<\kappa$ -outward consistent if for all cardinals $\lambda < \kappa$ and all $\theta > \kappa$ with $T \in V_{\theta}$ and all infinite cardinals $\lambda < \kappa$, the partial order $\operatorname{Col}(\omega, V_{\theta})$ forces that whenever $N \models \operatorname{ZFC}^*$ is an outer model of V_{θ}^V with $V_{\lambda}^N = V_{\lambda}^V$ which preserves κ as a cardinal, T is $<\kappa$ -consistent in N.

Definition 4

A cardinal κ is a *strong outward compactness cardinal* for \mathcal{L}^2 if all weakly $<\kappa$ -outward consistent theories are consistent.

Theorem 2

 κ is a strong outward compactness cardinal for \mathcal{L}^2 if and only if there is a strong cardinal $\nu \leq \kappa$. In particular, the least strong cardinal is the least outward compactness cardinal for \mathcal{L}^2 .

Further results

- There's a highly analogous result for supercompact cardinals.
- There's an analogous result for extendible cardinals (but there's already Magidor's compactness characterization of extendible cardinals, so perhaps this isn't overly interesting).
- There's a somewhat similar result for ω_1 -strongly compact cardinals (exact characterization, not just for the least ω_1 -strongly compact).
- We also characterize when Ord is Woodin by a compactness property of abstract logics.
- Similarly for Vopěnka's principle, but as there's Makowsky's result, this is perhaps not as interesting.

Proof for measurable cardinals, Part 1

Assume that κ is a measurable cardinal, let T be an \mathcal{L}^2 -theory, and assume that T is $<\kappa$ -outward consistent. We need to show that T is consistent. Let $j: V \to M$ be a suitable iterate of a measurable ultrapower embedding for κ such that $\operatorname{crit}(j) = \kappa$ and $j(\kappa) > |T|$ is a cardinal (of V). Pick a sufficiently large strong limit cardinal θ of cofinality greater than κ , so that V_{θ} satisfies ZFC^* , and $j(\theta) = \theta$. By elementarity, j(T) is $< j(\kappa)$ -outward consistent in M. It follows that in every $\operatorname{Col}(\omega, V_{\theta}^M)$ -generic extension of M, whenever N is an outer model of V_{θ}^M that satisfies ZFC^* , then Nsatisfies the following first order statement $\psi(j(\kappa), j(T))$:

whenever $\lambda < j(\kappa)$ is a cardinal, j(T) is $<\lambda$ -consistent.

Let $\mathfrak{r} \subseteq \omega$ be a real that codes $\langle V_{\theta}^{\mathcal{M}}, \in \rangle$ in a $\operatorname{Col}(\omega, V_{\theta}^{\mathcal{M}})$ -generic extension of \mathcal{M} .

Proof for measurable cardinals, Part 2

The above property of V_{θ}^{M} in this extension is now a Π_{2}^{1} -property of \mathfrak{r} (saying that whenever \mathfrak{n} codes an extensional wellfounded binary relation on ω that is isomorphic to an outer model of the model coded by \mathfrak{r} , and this model satisfies ZFC_{2} , then it satisfies a certain first order statement), and is thus absolute to any $\operatorname{Col}(\omega, V_{\theta}^{M})$ -generic extension of V containing \mathfrak{r} as an element. But V_{θ} is an outer model of V_{θ}^{M} that satisfies ZFC^{*} in such an extension, and $j(\kappa)$ is a cardinal in V_{θ} , as it is a cardinal in V by our choice of embedding j. We may thus conclude that j(T) is $< j(\kappa)$ -consistent in V_{θ} .

Now note that $j[T] \subseteq j(T)$ is of size less than $j(\kappa)$ by our choice of embedding j, and thus that j[T] is consistent in V_{θ} . By the nature of second order logic, it follows that j[T] is also consistent in V. Finally, note that we may identify j[T] and T via a renaming of symbols, using the finitary character of \mathcal{L}^2 -formulae. This yields that in fact, T is consistent, as desired.